


REMARKS

In the subject Office Action, claim 13 was objected to and rejected as only an obvious improvement of Krekeler in view of Wasyleczko.

The Examiner's objections indicate that he did not receive the latest copy of applicant's amendment mailed September 7, 2005. Applicant has complied with the filing requirements after repeated inquiries to the Patent Office. The canceling of claim 13 results, not so much, from examining the combination of the references but as a result of the final rejection given after a restriction requirement after a substantially ghost appearing new matter objection. The subject matter of claim 13 is as old as the parent application. Applicant will take its claim 13 and moot the remaining discussion.

With this amendment it is believed that all grounds for objection have been overcome and the application is in condition for allowance. Such action is courteously solicited.


Respectfully submitted,

By 
James N. Videbeck
Reg. No. 27,241, Customer No. 26449
Attorney for Applicant
(630) 627-4552
Fax (630) 627-2145

PATNAUDE & VIDEBECK
Terrace Executive Center
Court C
1 S 376 Summit Avenue
Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being filed via fax

to the Patent Office Central Fax Number 571-273-8300, on February
22, 2006.


Attorney